

Introduction to RBM package

Dongmei Li

April 24, 2017

Clinical and Translational Science Institute, University of Rochester School of Medicine and
Dentistry, Rochester, NY 14642-0708

Contents

1 Overview	1
2 Getting started	2
3 RBM_T and RBM_F functions	2
4 Ovarian cancer methylation example using the RBM_T function	6

1 Overview

This document provides an introduction to the RBM package. The RBM package executes the resampling-based empirical Bayes approach using either permutation or bootstrap tests based on moderated t-statistics through the following steps.

- Firstly, the RBM package computes the moderated t-statistics based on the observed data set for each feature using the `lmFit` and `eBayes` function.
- Secondly, the original data are permuted or bootstrapped in a way that matches the null hypothesis to generate permuted or bootstrapped resamples, and the reference distribution is constructed using the resampled moderated t-statistics calculated from permutation or bootstrap resamples.
- Finally, the p-values from permutation or bootstrap tests are calculated based on the proportion of the permuted or bootstrapped moderated t-statistics that are as extreme as, or more extreme than, the observed moderated t-statistics.

Additional detailed information regarding resampling-based empirical Bayes approach can be found elsewhere (Li et al., 2013).

2 Getting started

The RBM package can be installed and loaded through the following R code.
Install the RBM package with:

```
> source("http://bioconductor.org/biocLite.R")
> biocLite("RBM")
```

Load the RBM package with:

```
> library(RBM)
```

3 RBM_T and RBM_F functions

There are two functions in the RBM package: `RBM_T` and `RBM_F`. Both functions require input data in the matrix format with rows denoting features and columns denoting samples. `RBM_T` is used for two-group comparisons such as study designs with a treatment group and a control group. `RBM_F` can be used for more complex study designs such as more than two groups or time-course studies. Both functions need a vector for group notation, i.e., "1" denotes the treatment group and "0" denotes the control group. For the `RBM_F` function, a contrast vector need to be provided by users to perform pairwise comparisons between groups. For example, if the design has three groups (0, 1, 2), the `aContrast` parameter will be a vector such as ("X1-X0", "X2-X1", "X2-X0") to denote all pairwise comparisons. Users just need to add an extra "X" before the group labels to do the contrasts.

- Examples using the `RBM_T` function: `normdata` simulates a standardized gene expression data and `unifdata` simulates a methylation microarray data. The p -values from the `RBM_T` function could be further adjusted using the `p.adjust` function in the `stats` package through the Benjamini-Hochberg method.

```
> library(RBM)
> normdata <- matrix(rnorm(1000*6, 0, 1),1000,6)
> mydesign <- c(0,0,0,1,1,1)
> myresult <- RBM_T(normdata,mydesign,100,0.05)
> summary(myresult)
```

	Length	Class	Mode
ordfit_t	1000	-none-	numeric
ordfit_pvalue	1000	-none-	numeric
ordfit_beta0	1000	-none-	numeric
ordfit_beta1	1000	-none-	numeric
permutation_p	1000	-none-	numeric
bootstrap_p	1000	-none-	numeric

```
> sum(myresult$permutation_p<=0.05)
```

```
[1] 95
```

```

> which(myresult$permutation_p<=0.05)

[1] 3 27 49 52 73 88 90 93 95 100 113 116 129 148 162 170 179 184 201
[20] 210 223 230 233 241 242 247 248 255 269 316 328 335 336 338 352 377 404 408
[39] 411 433 434 453 456 458 479 488 497 513 528 532 548 562 568 583 585 598 603
[58] 608 641 664 672 680 688 689 698 709 718 746 756 782 797 799 815 822 824 839
[77] 841 843 846 874 884 885 890 892 909 912 930 932 939 951 972 979 984 991 993

> sum(myresult$bootstrap_p<=0.05)

[1] 26

> which(myresult$bootstrap_p<=0.05)

[1] 52 88 93 184 201 210 230 242 411 488 497 532 548 583 585 633 672 689 698
[20] 824 841 843 874 892 951 991

> permutation_adj_p <- p.adjust(myresult$permutation_p, "BH")
> sum(permutation_adj_p<=0.05)

[1] 0

> bootstrap_adj_p <- p.adjust(myresult$bootstrap_p, "BH")
> sum(bootstrap_adj_p<=0.05)

[1] 0

> unifdata <- matrix(runif(1000*7,0.10, 0.95), 1000, 7)
> mydesign2 <- c(0,0,0, 1,1,1,1)
> myresult2 <- RBM_T(unifdata,mydesign2,100,0.05)
> sum(myresult2$permutatioin_p<=0.05)

[1] 0

> sum(myresult2$bootstrap_p<=0.05)

[1] 25

> which(myresult2$bootstrap_p<=0.05)

[1] 52 92 198 207 245 282 302 326 353 365 407 434 446 448 455 535 541 563 565
[20] 567 681 709 860 893 904

> bootstrap2_adj_p <- p.adjust(myresult2$bootstrap_p, "BH")
> sum(bootstrap2_adj_p<=0.05)

[1] 0

```

- Examples using the RBM_F function: normdata_F simulates a standardized gene expression data and unifdata_F simulates a methylation microarray data. In both examples, we were interested in pairwise comparisons.

```
> normdata_F <- matrix(rnorm(1000*9,0,2), 1000, 9)
> mydesign_F <- c(0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 2)
> aContrast <- c("X1-X0", "X2-X1", "X2-X0")
> myresult_F <- RBM_F(normdata_F, mydesign_F, aContrast, 100, 0.05)
> summary(myresult_F)
```

	Length	Class	Mode
ordfit_t	3000	-none-	numeric
ordfit_pvalue	3000	-none-	numeric
ordfit_beta1	3000	-none-	numeric
permutation_p	3000	-none-	numeric
bootstrap_p	3000	-none-	numeric

```
> sum(myresult_F$permutation_p[, 1]<=0.05)

[1] 66

> sum(myresult_F$permutation_p[, 2]<=0.05)

[1] 66

> sum(myresult_F$permutation_p[, 3]<=0.05)

[1] 59

> which(myresult_F$permutation_p[, 1]<=0.05)
```

[1]	6	13	23	77	89	93	112	139	140	144	155	160	164	165	183
[16]	193	231	238	263	293	308	314	340	347	359	386	410	421	432	440
[31]	445	446	456	461	468	475	493	507	515	527	552	577	578	594	610
[46]	617	652	667	674	677	696	711	734	755	758	767	779	785	788	793
[61]	810	833	842	958	966	1000									

```
> which(myresult_F$permutation_p[, 2]<=0.05)
```

[1]	13	23	89	93	112	140	144	154	160	164	165	183	193	231	238
[16]	247	263	270	290	293	308	314	347	421	432	440	445	446	456	461
[31]	468	475	489	492	493	507	515	527	577	590	594	610	617	634	652
[46]	667	669	674	677	696	734	742	755	758	761	767	785	793	810	823
[61]	833	842	919	947	958	1000									

```
> which(myresult_F$permutation_p[, 3]<=0.05)
```

```

[1] 6 13 23 67 77 89 93 112 139 143 160 164 165 183 193
[16] 231 238 247 263 270 290 312 347 421 432 440 445 446 456 468
[31] 507 509 515 527 577 590 594 599 610 617 634 652 667 669 677
[46] 681 696 734 742 755 758 785 793 810 833 842 947 958 1000

> con1_adjp <- p.adjust(myresult_F$permutation_p[, 1], "BH")
> sum(con1_adjp<=0.05/3)

[1] 13

> con2_adjp <- p.adjust(myresult_F$permutation_p[, 2], "BH")
> sum(con2_adjp<=0.05/3)

[1] 15

> con3_adjp <- p.adjust(myresult_F$permutation_p[, 3], "BH")
> sum(con3_adjp<=0.05/3)

[1] 15

> which(con2_adjp<=0.05/3)

[1] 13 112 165 183 193 421 446 527 577 610 667 734 755 810 1000

> which(con3_adjp<=0.05/3)

[1] 193 238 247 421 507 527 577 610 617 667 696 734 810 842 1000

> unifdata_F <- matrix(runif(1000*18, 0.15, 0.98), 1000, 18)
> mydesign2_F <- c(rep(0, 6), rep(1, 6), rep(2, 6))
> aContrast <- c("X1-X0", "X2-X1", "X2-X0")
> myresult2_F <- RBM_F(unifdata_F, mydesign2_F, aContrast, 100, 0.05)
> summary(myresult2_F)

      Length Class  Mode
ordfit_t      3000  -none- numeric
ordfit_pvalue 3000  -none- numeric
ordfit_beta1  3000  -none- numeric
permutation_p 3000  -none- numeric
bootstrap_p   3000  -none- numeric

> sum(myresult2_F$bootstrap_p[, 1]<=0.05)

[1] 62

> sum(myresult2_F$bootstrap_p[, 2]<=0.05)

[1] 57

```

```

> sum(myresult2_F$bootstrap_p[, 3]<=0.05)

[1] 53

> which(myresult2_F$bootstrap_p[, 1]<=0.05)

[1] 11 46 79 119 133 137 150 175 176 202 210 217 227 228 250 252 261 282 304
[20] 322 326 337 361 399 480 501 504 514 518 554 556 559 561 564 582 587 605 614
[39] 617 625 650 673 674 685 691 736 750 785 791 797 805 816 818 835 839 842 868
[58] 887 898 957 975 977

> which(myresult2_F$bootstrap_p[, 2]<=0.05)

[1] 5 11 38 46 79 119 133 150 175 176 202 210 217 227 241 252 255 258 261
[20] 282 304 337 364 399 470 476 480 499 504 514 554 559 561 564 582 587 614 617
[39] 650 674 685 691 731 736 781 785 791 805 816 818 835 842 887 898 932 975 977

> which(myresult2_F$bootstrap_p[, 3]<=0.05)

[1] 11 46 79 86 119 133 137 171 175 176 202 210 227 261 282 293 306 321 364
[20] 399 470 476 480 504 514 546 554 556 564 582 587 614 617 625 650 674 685 691
[39] 729 736 768 785 797 805 816 818 835 839 842 848 887 957 975

> con21_adj_p <- p.adjust(myresult2_F$bootstrap_p[, 1], "BH")
> sum(con21_adj_p<=0.05/3)

[1] 10

> con22_adj_p <- p.adjust(myresult2_F$bootstrap_p[, 2], "BH")
> sum(con22_adj_p<=0.05/3)

[1] 9

> con23_adj_p <- p.adjust(myresult2_F$bootstrap_p[, 3], "BH")
> sum(con23_adj_p<=0.05/3)

[1] 3

```

4 Ovarian cancer methylation example using the RBM_T function

Two-group comparisons are the most common contrast in biological and biomedical field. The ovarian cancer methylation example is used to illustrate the application of RBM_T in identifying differentially methylated loci. The ovarian cancer methylation example is taken from the genome-wide DNA methylation profiling of United Kingdom Ovarian Cancer Population Study (UKOPS). This study used Illumina Infinium 27k Human DNA methylation Beadchip v1.2 to obtain DNA methylation profiles on over 27,000 CpGs in whole blood cells from 266 ovarian cancer women and 274 age-matched healthy controls. The data are downloaded from the NCBI GEO website

with access number GSE19711. For illustration purpose, we chose the first 1000 loci in 8 randomly selected women with 4 ovarian cancer cases (pre-treatment) and 4 healthy controls. The following codes show the process of generating significant differential DNA methylation loci using the RBM_T function and presenting the results for further validation and investigations.

```
> system.file("data", package = "RBM")

[1] "/private/tmp/RtmpVkk5Zi/Rinst179f117fbc97b/RBM/data"

> data(ovarian_cancer_methylation)
> summary(ovarian_cancer_methylation)
```

IlmnID	Beta	exmdata2[, 2]	exmdata3[, 2]
cg00000292: 1	Min. :0.01058	Min. :0.01187	Min. :0.009103
cg00002426: 1	1st Qu.:0.04111	1st Qu.:0.04407	1st Qu.:0.041543
cg00003994: 1	Median :0.08284	Median :0.09531	Median :0.087042
cg00005847: 1	Mean :0.27397	Mean :0.28872	Mean :0.283729
cg00006414: 1	3rd Qu.:0.52135	3rd Qu.:0.59032	3rd Qu.:0.558575
cg00007981: 1	Max. :0.97069	Max. :0.96937	Max. :0.970155
(Other) :994		NA's :4	

exmdata4[, 2]	exmdata5[, 2]	exmdata6[, 2]	exmdata7[, 2]
Min. :0.01019	Min. :0.01108	Min. :0.01937	Min. :0.01278
1st Qu.:0.04092	1st Qu.:0.04059	1st Qu.:0.05060	1st Qu.:0.04260
Median :0.09042	Median :0.08527	Median :0.09502	Median :0.09362
Mean :0.28508	Mean :0.28482	Mean :0.27348	Mean :0.27563
3rd Qu.:0.57502	3rd Qu.:0.57300	3rd Qu.:0.52099	3rd Qu.:0.52240
Max. :0.96658	Max. :0.97516	Max. :0.96681	Max. :0.95974
	NA's :1		

```
exmdata8[, 2]
Min. :0.01357
1st Qu.:0.04387
Median :0.09282
Mean :0.28679
3rd Qu.:0.57217
Max. :0.96268

> ovarian_cancer_data <- ovarian_cancer_methylation[, -1]
> label <- c(1, 1, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0)
> diff_results <- RBM_T(aData=ovarian_cancer_data, vec_trt=label, repetition=100, alpha=0.05)
> summary(diff_results)
```

	Length	Class	Mode
ordfit_t	1000	-none-	numeric
ordfit_pvalue	1000	-none-	numeric
ordfit_beta0	1000	-none-	numeric
ordfit_beta1	1000	-none-	numeric
permutation_p	1000	-none-	numeric
bootstrap_p	1000	-none-	numeric

```

> sum(diff_results$ordfit_pvalue<=0.05)

[1] 45

> sum(diff_results$permutation_p<=0.05)

[1] 75

> sum(diff_results$bootstrap_p<=0.05)

[1] 39

> ordfit_adj_p <- p.adjust(diff_results$ordfit_pvalue, "BH")
> sum(ordfit_adj_p<=0.05)

[1] 0

> perm_adj_p <- p.adjust(diff_results$permutation_p, "BH")
> sum(perm_adj_p<=0.05)

[1] 13

> boot_adj_p <- p.adjust(diff_results$bootstrap_p, "BH")
> sum(boot_adj_p<=0.05)

[1] 1

> diff_list_perm <- which(perm_adj_p<=0.05)
> diff_list_boot <- which(boot_adj_p<=0.05)
> sig_results_perm <- cbind(ovarian_cancer_methylation[diff_list_perm, ], diff_results$ordfit_t)
> print(sig_results_perm)

```

	IlmnID	Beta	exmdata2[, 2]	exmdata3[, 2]	exmdata4[, 2]
16	cg00014085	0.05906804	0.04518973	0.04211710	0.03665208
83	cg00072216	0.04505377	0.04598964	0.04000674	0.03231534
103	cg00094319	0.73784280	0.73532960	0.75574900	0.73830220
106	cg00095674	0.07076291	0.05045181	0.03861991	0.03337576
245	cg00224508	0.04479948	0.04972043	0.04152814	0.04189373
280	cg00260778	0.64319890	0.60488960	0.56735060	0.53150910
437	cg00424946	0.04122172	0.04325330	0.03339863	0.02876798
772	cg00743372	0.03922780	0.02919634	0.02187972	0.02568053
848	cg00826384	0.05721674	0.05612171	0.06644259	0.06358381
851	cg00830029	0.58362500	0.59397870	0.64739610	0.67269640
931	cg00901704	0.05734342	0.04812868	0.04478214	0.03878488
939	cg00906183	0.03949030	0.04365079	0.03720015	0.03575748
979	cg00945507	0.13432250	0.23854600	0.34749760	0.28903340
	exmdata5[, 2]	exmdata6[, 2]	exmdata7[, 2]	exmdata8[, 2]	
16	0.04222944	0.05324246	0.03728026	0.04062589	

83	0.04965089	0.04833366	0.03466159	0.04390894
103	0.67349260	0.73510200	0.75715920	0.78981220
106	0.04693030	0.06837343	0.04534005	0.03709488
245	0.04208405	0.05284988	0.03775905	0.03955271
280	0.61920530	0.61925200	0.46753250	0.55632410
437	0.03353116	0.03719167	0.03096761	0.03234779
772	0.02796053	0.03512214	0.02575992	0.02093909
848	0.05230160	0.06119713	0.06542751	0.06240686
851	0.50820240	0.34657470	0.66276570	0.64634510
931	0.04497277	0.05751033	0.03089829	0.04423603
939	0.03856975	0.06024309	0.03594439	0.03502819
979	0.11848510	0.16653850	0.30718420	0.26624740

diff_results\$ordfit_t[diff_list_perm]

16	2.325659
83	2.514109
103	-2.268711
106	3.100324
245	1.962457
280	4.170347
437	2.102892
772	2.416991
848	-2.314412
851	-2.841244
931	2.464709
939	1.762879
979	-4.750997

diff_results\$permutation_p[diff_list_perm]

16	0
83	0
103	0
106	0
245	0
280	0
437	0
772	0
848	0
851	0
931	0
939	0
979	0

```
> sig_results_boot <- cbind(ovarian_cancer_methylation[diff_list_boot, ], diff_results$ordfit_t)
> print(sig_results_boot)
```

	IlmnID	Beta	exmdata2[, 2]	exmdata3[, 2]	exmdata4[, 2]
259	cg00234961	0.0419217	0.04321576	0.0570714	0.05327565

```

exmdata5[, 2] exmdata6[, 2] exmdata7[, 2] exmdata8[, 2]
259 0.04030003 0.03996053 0.05086962 0.05445672
diff_results$ordfit_t[diff_list_boot]
259 -4.052697
diff_results$bootstrap_p[diff_list_boot]
259 0

```