

Performance and Parallel Evaluation

Martin Morgan (mtmorgan@fredhutch.org)
Fred Hutchinson Cancer Research Center
Seattle, WA, USA

19 June, 2015

Performance & Parallel Evaluation

R code

- ▶ Correct, then efficient

Parallel evaluation

- ▶ Computer
- ▶ Cluster
- ▶ Cloud

R code

Priorities

1. Correct!
2. Robust – works for most realistic inputs
3. Simple
4. Fast

R code: deadly sins

1. Unnecessary iteration

```
x <- 1:10000; for (i in seq_along(x)) x[i] = log(x[i])
```

2. Copy-and-append iteration

```
answer <- numeric()  
for (i in 1:10000) answer <- c(answer, 1/i)  
for (i in 1:10000) answer[i] <- 1/i
```

3. Unnecessary evaluation

```
x <- 1:1000000  
for (i in seq_along(x)) x[i] = x[i] * sqrt(2)
```

4. Re-implementation

R code: saving graces I

```
fun1 <- function(n) {  
    ## How many sins?  
    x <- numeric()  
    for (i in 1:n)  
        x <- c(x, log(i) * sqrt(2))  
    x  
}  
  
fun2 <- function(n)  
    log(seq_len(n)) * sqrt(2)
```

R code: saving graces II

1. Validation – `identical()`, `all.equal()`

```
identical(fun1(1000), fun2(1000))  
## [1] TRUE
```

2. Timing – `system.time()`, `microbenchmark()`

```
library(microbenchmark)  
microbenchmark(fun1(1000), fun2(1000))  
  
## Unit: microseconds  
##          expr      min       lq     mean      max neval  
##  fun1(1000) 1726.480 1746.0545 2518.5444  
##  fun2(1000)   36.131   36.8945   41.0648  
##          median      uq     max neval  
##  1771.0925 2556.803 5122.918     100  
##  40.6885   43.264   63.635     100
```

R code: saving graces III

3. 'Experience' – available packages & functions
4. Profiling – `Rprof()`
5. Foreign languages – e.g., C, *Rcpp*

Parallel evaluation

- ▶ Most often: ‘embarrassingly parallel’ evaluation of iterative for loops / `lapply()`

Other packages

- ▶ *parallel* – a base package; single computer
- ▶ *foreach* – popular ‘for’ loop paradigm
- ▶ *BatchJobs* – clusters with job schedulers
- ▶ *Rmpi* – classic HPC

BiocParallel

- ▶ Consistent interface
- ▶ Plays well with many *Bioconductor* packages

Parallel evaluation

```
library(BiocParallel)
fun <- function(i) {
  Sys.sleep(1)
  i
}
system.time(res1 <- lapply(1:5, fun))

##      user  system elapsed
##    0.002   0.000   5.007

system.time(res2 <- bplapply(1:5, fun))

##      user  system elapsed
##    0.035   0.024   1.085

identical(res1, res2)

## [1] TRUE
```



Parallel evaluation: *BiocParallel*

- ▶ Different `*Param()` objects for styles of computing, e.g.,
 - ▶ `SerialParam()`: no parallel evaluation
 - ▶ `MulticoreParam()`: separate forked processes on one computer
 - ▶ `BatchJobsParam()`: jobs submitted to a cluster queuing system
- ▶ register() a param or provide it as an argument for use in `bplapply()`.
- ▶ Sensible default values.

Parallel evaluation: processing large genomic files

Restrict input to minimum necessary data

- ▶ Select columns or fields of files to import, e.g., `colClasses` argument to `read.table()`; `ScanBamParam()` and `ScanVcfParam()`.
- ▶ Use a data base, hdf5, or other file format that allows queries or slices of the data to be imported.

Iterate through files to manage memory use

- ▶ File connections in base R
- ▶ `BamFile("my.bam", yieldSize=1000000)`

GenomicFiles

- ▶ Functions to help manage collections of genomic files

Parallel evaluation: extended example

Goal: for a vector of paths to bam files, fls, summarize GC content of each aligned read.

```
library(Rsamtools); library(GenomicFiles)
bfls <- BamFileList(fls, yieldSize=100000)
yield <- function(bfl) # input a chunk of alignments
  readGAlignments(bfl, param=ScanBamParam(what="seq"))
map <- function(aln) { # GC content, bin & cummulate
  gc <- letterFrequency(mcols(aln)$seq, "GC",
    as.prob=TRUE)
  cumsum(tabulate(1 + gc * 50, 51))
}
reduce <- `+` 

gc <- bplapply(bfls, reduceByYield, yield, map, reduce)
```

Summary

- ▶ **Correct** first, performance second
- ▶ No need to worry about code that doesn't take very long!
- ▶ 'Embarassingly' parallel (`lapply()`-like) problems easily parallelized, especially on a single computer.
- ▶ Opportunity for very scalable computations, e.g., via AMI & StarCluster.

Acknowledgments

- ▶ Core (Seattle): Sonali Arora, Marc Carlson, Nate Hayden, Valerie Obenchain, Hervé Pagès, Paul Shannon, Dan Tenenbaum.
- ▶ The research reported in this presentation was supported by the National Cancer Institute and the National Human Genome Research Institute of the National Institutes of Health under Award numbers U24CA180996 and U41HG004059, and the National Science Foundation under Award number 1247813. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institutes of Health or the National Science Foundation.

BioC 2015 Annual Conference, Seattle, WA, 20-22 July.